

MOUNTAINS RECREATION & CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

Los Angeles River Center and Gardens 570 West Avenue Twenty-six, Suite 100 Los Angeles, California 90065 Phone (323) 221-9944 Fax (323) 221-9934

June 10, 2005

Elizabeth A. Cheadle, Chairperson Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy 5750 Ramirez Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265

Grant Agreement Number SMM-882

Dear Ms. Cheadle:

The Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) respectfully requests that the project description for grant Number SMM-882 be amended in part to include the projects listed on the attached Exhibit A. In addition, the MRCA requests that the project performance period be extended to June 10, 2005.

Grant Agreement Number SMM-882 funds were used to acquire two properties - Chapter and Natoma Canyons and the West Mulholland Trailhead. On June 9, 2003, the Chapter and Natoma Canyons were sold by the MRCA to the Department of Parks and Recreation for four million dollars. One half of the proceeds of the sale were applied to the Verdugo Hills Oakmont acquisition. The MRCA had originally intended to use the remainder of the proceeds to make improvements to the West Mulholland Trailhead. The MRCA has spent approximately two hundred thousand dollars to date on project design for West Mulholand Trailhead improvements. The MRCA has since determined that there are other projects to which the remaining funds should be applied.

The MRCA requests that the remaining funds be applied to the projects described in Exhibit A, which include legal fees incurred in litigation to complete the Eastport and Soka transactions and a number of acquisition and improvement projects that required additional funds to complete the projects.

Section 6 D of the grant agreement requires the MRCA to submit any significant deviation from the original project scope to the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy for approval. Section 6 G of the grant agreement provides that after project commencement the grant agreement may be amended by mutual agreement in writing.

In addition to the terms and conditions of the grant agreement, this request complies the State Public Works Board resolution and with the terms of the Property Acquisition Agreement for the Chapter and Natoma Canyons transaction. The Resolution and Property

Elizabeth A. Cheadle June 10, 2005 Page 2

Acquisition Agreement require the MRCA to use the proceeds of the sale "in a manner approved by the original granting authorities [i.e., SMMC] and consistent with the original grants and underlying statutes associated with Propositions 13 and 12." One half of the proceeds of the sale have already been applied to an approved Proposition 13 project - namely the Oakmont acquisition.

Public Resources Code section 5096.353 (Proposition 12) provides that the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy is authorized to use the funds allocated to the Conservancy for grants for acquisition from a willing seller, enhancement and restoration of natural lands, and improvement of public recreational facilities.

The attached list of projects consists of acquisitions of parkland and natural areas, and improvements to parkland and natural areas and to public recreational facilities.

In addition, the attached project description includes legal expenses that are incidentally but directly related to the acquisition of the 1518 acre Eastport property by the MRCA and legal expenses related to the Soka acquisition contemplated by the voluntary settlement agreement previously entered into with Soka University in 1996. Although the recent acquisition has made the agreement moot, that settlement agreement would have resulted in MRCA's acquisition of over 300 acres of the Soka property in fee from a willing seller. As you know, the fee acquisition did not take because of failure of conditions precedent totally outside the control of MRCA, but the Soka open space easement was acquired by MRCA and recorded against the property. The MRCA has been advised by the Staff Counsel of the Conservancy that expenses, including legal expenses, that are incidentally but directly related to an acquisition or improvement project are appropriate Proposition 12 expenditures.

In light of the complicated issues and sophisticated expertise required, applying the "but for" test to these legal costs, neither the Eastport nor Soka settlement agreement and open space easement acquisition would have occurred without these expenditures.

Sincerely,

Michael Berger Chairperson Agenda Item VI June 10, 2005 Page 2

Augustus Hawkins Park – improvements to public recreational facilities.

Ramirez Canyon- Kabrin - acquisition of natural lands - unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Heidelberg Park, Mt. Washington – acquisition of natural lands, City of Los Angeles.

Montecito Heights Acquisition Study - project planning and design, City of Los Angeles.

Corral Canyon Trailhead – improvement of public recreational facility.

Whitney Canyon – acquisition of natural lands – unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Vegetation Management equipment - equipment costs to maintain park vegetation.

Ahmanson trail improvements – improvement to public recreational facility, unincorporated Ventura County.

River Center bicycle facility and River Garden Park – improvements to public recreational facilities, City of Los Angeles.

Hollywood Bowl Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Nancy Hoover Pohl Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Universal Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Barbara Fine Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Nicada Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Lotte Melhorn Overlook - Improvements to scenic overlooks, City of Los Angeles.

Temescal Canyon – Acquisition and Improvements to Temescal Canyon Gateway Park, City of Los Angeles.

Towsley Canyon – Acquisition and improvements to Towsley Canyon Park, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Paramount Ranch - Acquisition of Paramount Ranch, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Liberty Canyon – Improvements to Liberty Canyon and wildlife corridor, City of Agoura Hills and unincorporated Los Angeles County

Escondido Canyon – Acquisition of parcels in Escondido Canyon, City of Malibu.

Marvin Braude Mullholland Gateway Park – improvements to public facilities, City of Los Angeles.

Exhibit A Project Description

King Gillette Ranch (Soka) - conveyance of open space easement and dedication of approx. 300 acres in fee, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Eastport – acquisition of 1518 acres of open space, City of Los Angeles.

Westlake Reservoir (Westlake Vista) - acquisition of approx. 220 acres of open space, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Verdugo Mtns – Big Tujunga Wash – Acquisition and improvements in the Big Tujunga Wash region of the City of Los Angeles.

Oak Forest Canyon – acquisition of open space, City of Los Angeles.

Ramirez Canyon improvements – improvements to public recreational facility, City of Malibu.

South Pasadena Arroyo Seco – improvement of public recreational facility and enhancement of natural lands.

Zuniga Pond (Meier Nature Preserve) – acquisition of natural lands, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Liberty Canyon—April Road – acquisition of open space and natural land – unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Mulwood-Calmont - acquisition of natural lands - City of Calabasas.

Newhall Pass-Oat Mountain - acquisition of natural land, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Summit-to-Summit Secret Canyon – acquisition of natural lands, City of Calabasas.

Dry Canyon O'Hare - acquisition of natural lands, unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Franklin Canyon and Heavenly Pond – improvements to enhance and restore natural lands and improvements to public recreational facilities.

Various tax defaulted parcels – acquisition of natural lands – unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Joughin Ranch – acquisition of natural lands – unincorporated Los Angeles.

Lower Millard Canyon – acquisition of natural lands – unincorporated Los Angeles County.